

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATÉ	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/785,418	02/2	5/2004	Magnus Kristiansen	121939-40308491	8366	
20583 JONES DAY	7590	01/29/2008		EXAM	EXAMINER	
222 EAST 415				HUG, ERIC J		
NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1791			
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
,			•	01/29/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u>-</u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/785,418	KRISTIANSEN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	. •	Eric Hug	1791			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period fo			·			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the sign of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Fe	ebruary 2004.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Applicati	on Papers	•	·			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	. •				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

10/785,418 Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 41 and 43-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsuneyoshi et al (JP 5-222236).

Tsuneyoshi discloses a method for making a ultra-high molecular weight polyolefin fine porous membrane. The method comprises preparing a solution of a polyolefin such as polypropylene or polyethylene (see Table 1) in a solvent and containing 0.1-5 parts weight per 100 solution with a nucleus forming agent (nucleating agent). The polyolefin solution is cooled to form a gel, then heat stretched (drawn) in a biaxial manner which removing residual solvent to form a porous membrane.

Application/Control Number:

10/785,418 Art Unit: 1791

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-42 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al (US 4,436,689) and Smith et al (US 4,344,908) in view of Mannion (US 5,310,950) and Kobayashi et al (JP 8-239386).

Smith discloses processes of making polymer filaments having high tensile strength and high modulus. The processes include dissolving a polymer in a solvent to form a polymer solution, cooling the solution to precipitate the polymer and form a polymer gel, then drawing (stretching) the polymer gel to form a filament.

In the '908 patent, at least partial evaporation of the solvent may take place during the process of drawing the filament. Polymer filaments may be drawn at drawing ratios exceeding 20. See column 3, lines 47-53. Suitable solvents include decalin. See column 4, lines 15-34. Polymers which may be drawn include polyolefins. See column 4, lines 7-14 for a list of polymers. In Example 1 and Table 1, column 5, high modulus (presumed to by Young's modulus) and tensile strength are illustrated for polyethylene dissolved in decalin. The modulus of the filament can exceed the highest claimed value of 30 GPa. The tensile strength can exceed the highest claimed value of 1 GPa. In Example 3, column 5, isotactic polypropylene is dissolved in decalin. In this example, a drawing ratio of 20 is used. The resulting filament has

Application/Control Number:

10/785,418

Art Unit: 1791

an average molecular weight of 3,000,000 and a tensile strength of 1 GPa. The solution has about 1-5% by weight of polymer to solvent.

In the '689 patent, which incorporates by reference the '908 patent (column 5, lines 26-28), the process differs in that the polymers having generally lower average molecular weights, as low as 40,000, are utilized. Essentially all of the solvent is removed before drawing rather than during the drawing as in the '902 patent. Co-monomers may be used. Otherwise, the choice of types of polymer and solvents are essentially the same. Drawing ratios, modulus, and tensile strength of the filaments in the '689 patent are comparable to those of the '902 patent and may exceed the claimed levels, also.

Regarding independent claims 1, 41, and 42, Smith discloses the claimed processes, but does not teach providing the polymer solution with a nucleating agent. It is noted, however, that between 0.001-10% by weight of additives, stabilizers, or fiber treatment agents can be incorporated into the filaments.

Mannion and Kobayashi are cited here to exemplify the known use of various types of nucleating agents in making crystalline polyolefins. The nucleating agents act as clarifying agents for the resulting polymer, thereby improving transparency of the polymer without mechanically or chemically degrading the polymer. The nucleating agents are preferably blended with a polymer resin before crystallizing. Mannion, in particular, discloses the use of sorbitol acetals. Mannion also makes reference to many other prior art compounds including other sorbitols, sodium benzoate, and salts of carboxylic acids. Kobayashi discloses in particular the claimed 1,3-2,4-bis(3,4-dimethylbenzylidene)-D-sorbitol as a nucleating agent for an olefin resin to improve appearance, and optical, mechanical, and thermal properties. At the time of the

10/785,418

Art Unit: 1791

invention, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to make the polymers of Smith with a nucleating agent as taught by Mannion or Kobayashi to provide the above known advantages.

All features of dependent claims 2-19 and 25-40 are disclosed or suggested by Smith as described above, or are deemed to be otherwise obvious minor process variations, products, intended uses, or properties one skilled in the art would recognize for the types of polymers being made. All the features of claims 20-24 and 44 regarding the nucleating agents, including the amount added, are taught by Mannion in the Examples.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Hamada (US 4,016,118) discloses dibenzylidene sorbitol as a nucleating agent for polyolefins.

Tsuneyoshi et al (JP 5-222237), similar to JP 5-222236 above, disclose a gel method for producing a polyolefinic microporous film.

Yagi et al (JP 6-57055) discloses ultra-high-molecular-weight polypropylene fibers made from a solution of polypropylene in decalin.

Kono et al (JP 8-12799) discloses a gel method to make a polyolefin finely porous film.

10/785,418

Art Unit: 1791

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Hug whose telephone number is 571 272-1192.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eric Hug

Em H

Primary Examiner